



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,367	09/04/2003	Michael Norman Day	AUS920020474US1	8438
45327	7590 10/21/2005		EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION (CS)			DOAN, DUC T	
C/O CARR L	LP		·	
670 FOUNDERS SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
900 JACKSON STREET			2188	
DALLAS, TX 75202			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/655,367	DAY ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
_		Duc T. Doan	2188
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOTS IN THE MAY BE A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOTS IN THE MAY BE A STATE OF THE MAILING DOTS IN THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u> 	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/655,367

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-21 are in the application.

Claims 1-21 are rejected.

Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities:

As per claim 13, the recitation "the second cache" lacks antecedent basis. Furthermore, in light of the specification page 20 lines 21-32, Examiner interprets the claim as following: "...step of retrieving the data associated with an address from the cache if there is a **miss** in the cache"

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

Claim 1 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending application 10/655365,

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Instant Application, 10/655367; Claim 1	Copending Application, 10/655365; Claim 1
A software controlled data replacement system	A software controlled data replacement system

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/655,367

Art Unit: 2188

for a cache, the system employing a class	for a cache, the system employing a memory	
identifier and a tag replacement control indicia,	region and associated class identifier and a tag	
comprising:	replacement control indicia, comprising:	
a replacement management table, employable	a replacement management table, employable	
to read the class identifier to create the tag	to read the class identifier to create the tag	
replacement control indicia; and	replacement control indicia; and	
the cache, comprising a plurality of sets,	the cache, comprising a plurality of sets,	
employable to disable a replacement of at least	employable to disable a replacement of at least	
one of the plurality of sets as a function of the	one of the plurality of sets as a function of the	
tag replacement control indicia.	tag replacement control indicia.	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by other's in this country or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2188

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another fled in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 4

Claims 1-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Arimilli et al (US 6425058).

As for claim 1, Arimilli describes a software controlled data replacement system for a cache, the system employing a memory region and associated class identifier and a tag replacement control indicia (Arimilli's column 7 lines 5-55), comprising: a replacement management table (Arimilli's Fig 5, Fig 7; column 6 lines 1-27 describes virtual caches management whereas each virtual cache element, "a row", is further partitioned into multiple types and set associative), employable to read the class identifier (virtual caches ID) to create the tag replacement control indicia (Fig 7: #130 a virtual cache's ITF setting to information type field) (Arimilli's Fig 5, 7; column 5 line 65 to column 6 line 27 describes using virtual cache value in control register 132 to select a virtual cache's ITF setting; the ITF setting determines cache partitioning and set associative for replacement of data in a virtual cache; Arimilli's column 6 lines 53-66 further describes using virtual caches' performance to determine the replacing of virtual caches), and the cache, comprising a plurality of sets, employable to disable a replacement of at least one of the plurality of sets as a function of the tag replacement control indicia (Arimilli's Fig 5, column 6 lines 5-27 describes the virtual caches' sets can be managed to be overlapped or disjoined).

Art Unit: 2188

As for claims 2-3, Arimilli describes wherein a set of the cache is replaced based upon a least recently used function (claim 2; column 9 lines 30-45); wherein the replacement management table is software-based (Amirilli's column 5 lines 1-28; column 9 lines 30-45 describe virtual caches having information type fields to control the partitioning and the replacement of cache sets; Arimilli's column 6 lines 2-33 further describes the type field information are determined by software.

As for claims 4-6, the claims recite a range register employable to create the class identifier (claim 4); wherein the range register is employable to classify an address range as a default address range (claim 5); wherein the range register is written to by software (claim 6). Arimilli's column 7 lines 30-49 describe the information type having information such as ranges of memory areas. Arimilli's column 7 lines 50-54 describe the memory location information is recorded in memory cache controller. Arimilli's column 8 lines 5-14 describe the type information having a default value; Arimilli's column 6 lines 2-33 further describes the type field information are determined by software.

As for claim 7 the claim recites wherein the range register receives the address as a result of a miss of an address. Arimilli's column 9 lines 1-27 describe any cache policy, characteristic and behavior that is subjected to programming or dynamic hardware management can be independently tuned for a particular information type. Arimill's column 8 lines 5-15 describe the information field is provided with a programmable default value. Thus in a situation wherein the cache controller does not received an address that match that of the range register, the default value is used.

Art Unit: 2188

As for claim 8, Arimilli describes method of determining information replacement in a cache, comprising: creating a class identifier; reading the class identifier; creating a tag replacement control indicia as a function of the class identifier through employment of a replacement management table (Arimilli's Fig 5, Fig 7; column 6 lines 1-27 describes virtual caches management whereas each virtual cache element, "a row", is further partitioned into multiple types and set associative); and configuring replacement eligibility of a set in a cache as a function of the associated tag replacement control indicia (Fig 7: #130 a virtual cache's ITF setting to information type field; Arimilli's Fig 5, 7; column 5 line 65 to column 6 line 27 describes using virtual cache value in control register 132 to select a virtual cache's ITF setting; the ITF setting determines cache partitioning and set associative for replacement of data in a virtual cache).

As for claim 9, the claim rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 2.

As for claim 8, the claim recites replacing information within the set of the cache with other information as a function of the tag replacement control indicia. Arimilli describes in column 9 lines 1-10 the information type field is flexible and dynamically tuned for a particular information type. Arimilli's column 9 lines 13-26 describes the information in type fields associated with the sets within the congruence class is further examined by the memory controller to determine which sets is permitted to store the information type of received information.

As for claim 11, the claim recites creating a classID (virtual cache identifier) further comprises creating a non-default classID if a hit of an address occurs in a range register.

Page 7

Arimilli's column 9 lines 27-43 describe the cache controller apply different LRU schemes for each virtual cache. Thus a particular virtual cache identifier is generated when the cache controller receives a request that matching the virtual cache's address range that was provided by the information type field for the particular virtual cache (Arimilli's column 9 lines 12-26).

As for claim 12, the claim recites discarding the tag replacement control indicia if there is a hit on the cache. It has been well know in the art that for a cache hit, the replacement is not carried out.

As for claim 13, the claim recites the step of retrieving the data associated with an address from the second cache if there is a hit in the second cache.

As for claim 14, the claim rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 2.

As for claim 15, Arimilli's describes employing an address range to associate with the class identifier (Arimilli's column 9 lines 13-45 describes virtual caches associating with regions of memory such as OS kernel data etc..).

As for claim 16, the claim recites employing an algorithm bit to select an algorithm for the replacement of the eligible set. Arimilli's column 9 lines 3-45 describes that different LRU policies are implemented with virtual caches using the contents of information type. Therefore the information about different LRU algorithms must be provided in the information type field as shown in Fig 3.

Claim 17 rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 8.

Claim 18,21 rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 10.

Claim 19 rejected based on the same rationale as in the rejection of claim 11.

Page 8

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Loen (US 6430667).

Arimilli et al (US 6430656).

Yoshioka et al (US 5796978).

When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-4171. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,367

Art Unit: 2188

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin L. Ellis Primary Examiner

Page 9

Havi 2 Illi